INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY HEALTH SCIENCES

ISSN: 2394 9406

"AYURVEDIC AHARA AND YOGIC AHARA: A COMPARATIVE REVIEW OF DIETARY PRINCIPLES FOR HEALTH PROMOTION"

Dr. Ashwini Raju Gavhane [1], Dr Shweta Nakil [2],Dr Rahul Nakil [3.]

1. PG Scholar,Swasthvrita and Yoga Department,LRP Ayurvedic Medical College and PG Institute,Ishwarpur,Sangli.
2. Guide ,Professor,Swasthvritta and Yoga Department LRP Ayurvedic Medical College and PG Institute ,Ishwarpur ,Sangli.
3. HOD And Professor,Swasthvritta Department,LRP Ayurvedic Medical College and PG Institute,Ishwarpur,Sangli.

Abstract

Background: Diet plays a fundamental role in the maintenance of health and prevention of disease. Ayurveda and Yoga, two traditional Indian knowledge systems, emphasize the relationship between food, physical health, mental well-being and disciplined living. Ayurveda elaborates dietary principles through Ahara Vidhi and Ahara Vidhi Visheshayatana, whereas Yoga emphasizes Mitahara, moderation in eating and the quality of food in relation to mental clarity and yogic practice.

Aim: To review and compare the fundamental principles of Ayurvedic Ahara and Yogic Ahara and explore their relevance to contemporary health promotion.

Materials and Methods: A conceptual literature review was undertaken using two published review articles on Ayurvedic dietary guidelines and Ahara Vidhi Visheshayatana, along with selected classical Ayurvedic and Yogic texts. The concepts were examined under the headings of food quality, quantity, preparation, compatibility, timing, individual suitability and the relationship between diet and mental health.

Results: Ayurveda provides a systematic framework for dietary selection based on the properties of food, processing, combinations, quantity, geographical origin, season, rules of consumption and individual characteristics. Yogic dietary principles emphasize moderation, suitability for practice, self-discipline and the influence of food on mental states. Both systems advocate mindful eating and recognize that dietary practices should support overall well-being. However, their theoretical frameworks and primary objectives are not identical.
Conclusion: Ayurvedic Ahara and Yogic Ahara offer complementary perspectives on healthy eating. Their integration may provide a useful conceptual framework for preventive healthcare, lifestyle counselling and Swasthavritta practice. Further research is required to evaluate their clinical applicability using contemporary scientific methods.
Keywords: Ahara, Ayurvedic dietetics, Ahara Vidhi Visheshayatana, Yogic Ahara, Mitahara, Sattvic diet, Swasthavritta, health promotion.

Introduction

Food is one of the fundamental requirements for the maintenance of life. Its importance extends beyond the provision of energy and nutrients to include its relationship with physical functioning, mental well-being and daily habits. Traditional Indian systems of health have therefore given considerable importance to dietary selection and the manner in which food is consumed.

In Ayurveda, Ahara is regarded as one of the three Upastambhas, along with Nidra and Brahmacharya. Appropriate dietary practices are considered essential for supporting health, strength, nourishment and the maintenance of physiological balance. Ayurvedic dietetics does not focus exclusively on the nutritional composition of food; it also considers its inherent properties, processing, combinations, quantity, timing, season and suitability for the individual.

The article *Ahara Vidhi – Dietary Guidelines in Ayurveda* by Handur emphasizes the importance of following appropriate dietary practices in accordance with Ayurvedic principles. Similarly, Howal and Phule, in *Conceptual Review of Ahara Vidhi Visheshayatana*, discuss eight factors that influence the effects of food on the individual.

Yoga, meanwhile, presents dietary regulation as part of a broader discipline involving the body, mind and conduct. Concepts such as Mitahara and Sattvic

Ahara highlight moderation, food quality and the potential relationship between dietary habits, mental clarity and the practice of Yoga.

Although Ayurveda and Yoga share several dietary principles, their frameworks are not completely identical. A comparative examination may help clarify their common ground and distinctive contributions to preventive health and lifestyle management.

2. Aim and Objectives

Aim

To review the principles of Ayurvedic Ahara and Yogic Ahara and compare their relevance to health promotion.

Objectives

1. To describe the fundamental principles of Ahara in Ayurveda.
2. To review the concepts of Mitahara and Sattvic Ahara in Yogic literature.
3. To compare Ayurvedic and Yogic approaches to food quality, quantity, timing and individual suitability.
4. To discuss the relevance of these principles to contemporary dietary counselling and Swasthavritta.

3. Materials and Methods

The present article is a conceptual narrative review based on selected literature related to Ayurvedic dietetics and Yogic dietary practices.

The principal secondary sources were:

1. Handur SR. *Ahara Vidhi – Dietary Guidelines in Ayurveda*. Journal of Ayurveda and Integrated Medical Sciences. 2019;4(6):220–222.
2. Howal MA, Phule SP. *Conceptual Review of Ahara Vidhi Visheshayatana*. International Journal of Ayurveda and Pharma Research. 2017;5(3):61 onwards.

Relevant classical concepts were considered from Charaka Samhita, Ashtanga Hridaya, the Bhagavad Gita and Hatha Yoga Pradipika.

The literature was organized thematically under dietary quality, quantity, processing, food combinations, timing, individual suitability and the relationship between diet and mental well-being. The findings were synthesized descriptively to identify similarities, differences and potential applications.

This review is conceptual in nature and does not constitute a systematic review. No clinical intervention or original patient data were included.

4. Ayurvedic Concept of Ahara

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY HEALTH SCIENCES

Ayurveda considers Ahara an important determinant of health and disease. Appropriate food supports nourishment, strength and the maintenance of bodily functions, whereas unsuitable dietary practices may contribute to disturbances in digestion and physiological balance.

The effects of food are understood in relation to Agni, Dosha, Dhatu, Mala, individual constitution and other contextual factors. Therefore, the same food may not be equally suitable for every individual or under all circumstances.

4.1 Ahara Vidhi Visheshayatana

Charaka Samhita describes eight important factors that determine the appropriate use and effects of food. These are known as Ahara Vidhi Visheshayatana.

1. Prakriti — inherent characteristics of food

Prakriti refers to the natural properties of a food substance, including characteristics such as Guru (heavy) and Laghu (light). These properties help explain differences in digestibility and suitability.

2. Karana — processing of food

Karana refers to processing or Samskara, through which the characteristics of food may change. Cooking, grinding and other appropriate methods of preparation influence the form and usability of food.

3. Samyoga — combination of food

Samyoga concerns the combination of different food substances. Ayurveda considers that combinations may influence the overall properties and effects of a meal. Traditional concepts of food compatibility should be interpreted according to the relevant classical context rather than through unsupported generalizations.

4. Rashi — quantity of food

Rashi refers to the quantity of food consumed. Ayurveda distinguishes between the total quantity of food and the quantity of individual food substances. Appropriate quantity depends on factors including digestive capacity, food characteristics and individual requirements.

5. Desha — geographical context

Desha refers to the habitat or geographical context associated with the food and the individual. Environmental conditions and regional characteristics are considered when selecting suitable dietary practices.

6. Kala — time and season

Kala includes time-related considerations, such as season and the appropriate timing of food intake. Dietary practices may require adjustment according to seasonal conditions, health status and other relevant circumstances.

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY HEALTH SCIENCES

7. Upayoga Samstha — rules of consumption

Upayoga Samstha describes the established rules governing the consumption of food. These include considerations related to eating appropriately prepared food, eating according to digestive capacity and following suitable practices during meals.

8. Upayokta — the consumer

Upayokta refers to the individual consuming the food. Age, constitution, digestive capacity, health status, habits and tolerance influence dietary suitability. Together, these eight factors demonstrate that Ayurvedic dietetics is a contextual approach to food selection rather than a uniform dietary prescription for every person.

4.2 Ahara Vidhi: Rules for Eating

Ayurvedic dietary guidelines also emphasize the manner in which food is consumed. As discussed in Ashtanga Hridaya, appropriate dietary practices involve attention to hunger, digestion, food preparation and the circumstances of consumption.

The general principles include:

- Consuming food that is appropriate to the individual's digestive capacity.
- Giving attention to the quality and preparation of food.
- Avoiding excessive or insufficient food intake.
- Considering food combinations and individual suitability.
- Eating in a suitable environment without unnecessary distraction.
- Following dietary practices appropriate to the season and health condition.

These principles encourage the individual to consider not only what is eaten but also how, when and in what quantity it is consumed.

5. Yogic Concept of Ahara

Yoga views diet within the broader framework of disciplined living and the development of physical and mental stability. Food is relevant not only to bodily nourishment but also to the maintenance of a lifestyle supportive of yogic practice.

The concept of Yogic Ahara is discussed through principles such as Mitahara, moderation in eating, and the classification of food according to the Gunas in relevant Yogic and philosophical literature.

5.1 Mitahara

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY HEALTH SCIENCES

Mitahara means moderate or regulated eating. Hatha Yoga Pradipika discusses Mitahara in the context of practices conducive to Yoga.

The concept emphasizes avoiding excessive intake and adopting a regulated dietary pattern that supports the individual's practice and well-being. Moderation should not be interpreted as indiscriminate food restriction or inadequate nutrition.

The appropriate amount of food depends on individual needs, activity, health status and the demands of daily life. From a contemporary perspective, this principle is consistent with avoiding habitual overeating while ensuring adequate nutritional intake.

5.2 Sattvic Ahara

The Bhagavad Gita, particularly Chapter 17, describes foods associated with Sattva, Rajas and Tamas. These classifications are part of a traditional philosophical framework concerning food, qualities and human disposition.

Foods described as Sattvic are traditionally associated with vitality, contentment and clarity. Rajasic foods are associated with stimulation and restlessness, whereas Tamasic foods are associated with heaviness, inertia and dullness.

These descriptions should be understood within their original philosophical and cultural context. They are not equivalent to modern scientific nutritional classifications, and the three Gunas cannot be directly equated with measured physiological or psychological outcomes without appropriate research.

5.3 Ahara and Mental Well-being

Yogic dietary discipline emphasizes the relationship between everyday habits and mental steadiness. Regular meals, moderation and attention to food choices may support a disciplined lifestyle. Mindful eating can also help individuals recognize hunger, fullness and eating patterns. However, the specific effects of traditional dietary classifications on concentration, emotional regulation and mental health require appropriate scientific evaluation.

5.4 Ahara as Part of Yogic Discipline

Yogic practice is traditionally supported by appropriate conduct, regularity and moderation. Dietary regulation is therefore understood as one component of a broader lifestyle that may include Asana, Pranayama, meditation and ethical discipline.

Yogic dietary principles should not be interpreted as a requirement for every practitioner to follow one rigid diet.

Individual nutritional needs, medical conditions, cultural practices and food availability remain important considerations.

6. Comparative Analysis of Ayurvedic and Yogic Ahara

Ayurveda and Yoga share an interest in the relationship between dietary practices and overall well-being, but they approach this relationship through different conceptual frameworks.

6.1 Food Quality

Ayurveda evaluates food according to its inherent properties, processing, compatibility and suitability for the individual. Yogic dietary discussions place additional emphasis on Mitahara and the traditional Gunas of food.

Both approaches encourage thoughtful food selection, although their categories and explanations should not be treated as interchangeable.

6.2 Quantity of Food

Ayurveda considers quantity in relation to digestive capacity, food characteristics and individual requirements. Yoga emphasizes moderation through Mitahara.

Both perspectives discourage habitual excess. Their contemporary application should nevertheless ensure that moderation does not become undernutrition or unnecessary restriction.

6.3 Food Preparation and Processing

Ayurveda explicitly identifies Karana as a factor influencing food characteristics. Yogic texts and traditions also discuss appropriate food choices and preparation, although the detailed eight-factor framework is specifically associated with Ayurvedic dietetics.

Food preparation is relevant to both systems because it affects palatability, digestibility, safety and nutritional characteristics.

6.4 Timing and Regularity

Ayurveda gives specific importance to Kala, including seasonal and time-related considerations. Yogic dietary discipline also values moderation and regularity as part of a balanced routine.

Both can inform practical advice on establishing suitable meal patterns. Specific meal timings, however, should be adapted to an individual's health, work schedule and nutritional requirements.

6.5 Individual Suitability

The Upayokta principle in Ayurveda emphasizes the person consuming the food. Yogic dietary principles likewise need to be applied in the context of the practitioner's needs and capacity.

A universal dietary prescription may not be appropriate for people of different ages,

activity levels, health conditions and nutritional requirements.

6.6 Relationship Between Body and Mind

Ayurveda considers dietary practices in relation to digestion, nourishment and physiological balance. Yogic philosophy additionally emphasizes the relationship between food, mental qualities and the discipline of practice.

These perspectives can complement each other in holistic health education, provided that traditional philosophical explanations are distinguished from scientifically established physiological mechanisms.

7. Table 1. Comparative Overview

Parameter	**Ayurvedic Ahara**	**Yogic Ahara**
Primary framework	Ahara Vidhi and Ahara Vidhi Visheshayatana	Mitahara and traditional classifications of food
Food quality	Prakriti, processing and suitability	Traditional Sattvic, Rajasic and Tamasic classifications
Quantity	Rashi and digestive capacity	Mitahara and moderation
Processing	Karana or Samskara	Appropriate dietary choices in support of yogic discipline
Food combinations	Samyoga	Depends on the particular Yogic tradition and source
Time and season	Kala and Desha	Moderation and regulation within daily practice
Individual factors	Upayokta, constitution and digestive capacity	Suitability for the individual and the demands of practice
Broad orientation	Nourishment, health maintenance and prevention	Dietary discipline supporting well-being and yogic practice

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY HEALTH SCIENCES

8. Relevance to Contemporary Swasthavritta

The principles of Ayurvedic and Yogic Ahara may be considered complementary resources for health education and lifestyle counselling.

First, attention to food quality and preparation can support education regarding balanced meals and food safety.

Second, the principles of quantity and moderation may help individuals recognize habitual overeating and develop more appropriate eating patterns. Third, attention to individual suitability can encourage dietary advice that accounts for age, activity, health status and nutritional requirements.

Mindful eating and regular dietary routines may also be incorporated into lifestyle counselling. The concepts of Sattvic Ahara can be discussed as part of traditional Yogic philosophy while clearly distinguishing them from modern classifications based on nutrient composition.

For contemporary clinical practice, these principles should be integrated with evidence-based nutritional guidance. Dietary advice must ensure adequate energy, protein, essential fats, vitamins, minerals and other nutrients. Therapeutic diets for specific medical conditions should be individualized, and potentially harmful restrictions should be avoided.

9. Discussion

The comparison indicates that Ayurvedic and Yogic dietary principles share several broad themes, including moderation, appropriate food selection, attention to individual needs and the importance of dietary habits in daily life.

The eight factors of Ahara Vidhi Visheshayatana provide a relatively detailed framework for evaluating the context in which food is consumed. They include the characteristics of the food itself as well as the effects of processing, combinations, quantity, place, time and the individual.

Mitahara provides a complementary emphasis on moderation, while the traditional classification of food according to Sattva, Rajas and Tamas introduces a philosophical perspective on dietary discipline and mental qualities.

Despite these similarities, it would be inaccurate to suggest that Ayurvedic and Yogic Ahara are identical systems. Ayurveda has a distinct framework involving Agni, Dosha and individual dietary suitability, whereas Yogic dietary principles are frequently discussed in relation to moderation and the broader aims of yogic practice.

The integration of these concepts may be useful in developing culturally relevant health education. Nevertheless, traditional descriptions should not automatically be treated as proof of clinical efficacy. Well-designed observational and interventional studies could investigate specific practices, such as mindful eating, dietary regularity and individualized food selection, using

validated nutritional, behavioural and health outcomes.

10. Limitations

This article is a conceptual narrative review based on selected secondary publications and classical textual sources. It does not include a systematic search strategy, formal assessment of methodological quality or quantitative synthesis of research findings.

The interpretation of classical concepts may vary across translations, commentaries and traditions. Furthermore, conceptual similarities between traditional dietary systems do not independently establish equivalent biological mechanisms or clinical effects.

Future reviews should use a transparent search strategy, predefined inclusion criteria and a critical appraisal of relevant primary research.

11. Conclusion

Ayurvedic Ahara and Yogic Ahara provide complementary traditional perspectives on dietary regulation and health promotion. Ayurveda emphasizes the properties of food, processing, combinations, quantity, geographical and seasonal context, rules of consumption and individual suitability. Yoga highlights Mitahara, dietary discipline and traditional understandings of the relationship between food and mental qualities.

Their comparative study offers a useful foundation for health education and Swasthavritta counselling. An integrated approach should preserve the distinct theoretical foundations of each system while incorporating contemporary nutritional science and individualized clinical judgement.

Further research is needed to evaluate the health outcomes associated with specific dietary practices and to establish their appropriate application in contemporary preventive healthcare.

References

1. Handur SR. Ahara Vidhi – Dietary guidelines in Ayurveda. *Journal of Ayurveda and Integrated Medical Sciences*. 2019;4(6):220–222. doi:10.21760/jaims.v4i06.791.
2. Howal MA, Phule SP. Conceptual Review of Ahara Vidhi Visheshayatana. *International Journal of Ayurveda and Pharma Research*. 2017;5(3):61 onwards. Available at: https://ijapr.in/index.php/ijapr/article/view/572.
3. Agnivesha. *Charaka Samhita*, Vimanasthana, Chapter 1,

particularly the discussion of Ahara Vidhi Visheshayatana. Include the exact edition, editor or commentator, publisher, place and year consulted.

4. Vagbhata. *Ashtanga Hridaya*, Sutrasthana, Matrashitiya Adhyaya. Include the exact edition, translator or commentator, publisher, place and year consulted.
5. *Bhagavad Gita*. Chapter 17, verses 8–10, discussing Sattvic, Rajasic and Tamasic food. Specify the edition or translation consulted.
6. *Hatha Yoga Pradipika*. Chapter 1, discussion of Mitahara and dietary discipline. Specify the edition, translator and publication details consulted.

IJMHS
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY HEALTH SCIENCES